Filed 01/16/2008

Page 1 of 5

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE CASE NO.: C 07 5111(MJJ)

Case 4:07-cv-05111-CW Document 12

WHEREAS, plaintiff City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement System filed the complaint in the above-captioned class action on October 4, 2007, against Sonic Solutions and certain of its officers and directors (collectively "Defendants");

WHEREAS, City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement System and Defendants entered into a stipulation setting schedule which was entered as an order by this Court on November 2, 2007 whereby Defendants were not required to file and serve any answer(s) or other response(s) to the complaint in the above-captioned class action until at least 30 days after Lead Plaintiff was appointed by the Court;

WHEREAS, any plaintiff wishing to be Lead Plaintiff in this action was required to file a request with the Court by December 3, 2007 to be appointed Lead Plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995;

WHEREAS, the City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement System and Plymouth County Retirement Systems jointly filed a request on December 3, 2007 to be appointed Lead Plaintiff and this request was unopposed;

WHEREAS, on January 10, 2008 the Court appointed City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement System and Plymouth County Retirement Systems as Lead Plaintiffs ("Lead Plaintiffs") and Coughlin Stoia Gellman Rudman and Robbins LLP and Labaton Sucharow LLP as Lead Plaintiffs' counsel;

WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiffs intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, the parties, after meeting and conferring, agree that judicial economy will be served by the entry of a schedule for the filing of Defendants' answer(s) or other response(s) to the Consolidated Amended Complaint.

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

- 1. Lead Plaintiffs will file a Consolidated Amended Complaint by February 28, 2008.
- 2. Defendants shall file and serve answers or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Amended Complaint by April 17, 2008. In the event that Defendants file and serve any motion

1 directed at the complaint, Lead Plaintiffs shall file and serve an opposition by June 5, 2008. If Defendants file and serve a reply to Lead Plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so by July 10, 2008. By executing this Stipulation, the parties have not waived and expressly retain all 3 3. 4 claims, defenses and arguments whether procedural, substantive or otherwise, and are without 5 prejudice to any subsequent motion to stay this action, and this Order is entered without prejudice 6 to the rights of any party to apply for a modification of this Order for good cause. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 DATED: January 16, 2008 HELLER EHRMAN LLP 9 10 /s/ Monica Patel 11 SARA BRODY CAROL LYNN THOMPSON 12 MONICA PATEL DANIEL KAUFMAN 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14 SONIC SOLUTIONS, DAVID C. HABIGER, ROBERT J. DORIS, A. CLAY LEIGHTON, 15 MARY C. SAUER and MARK ELY 16 I, Monica Patel, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this 17 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Setting Schedule. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that John K. Grant of Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP has concurred 18 in this filing. 19 DATED: January 16, 2008 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & 20 ROBBINS LLP JOHN K. GRANT 21 SHAWN A. WILLIAMS MONIQUE C. WINKLER 22 AELISH M. BAIG 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 23 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 288-4545 24 Facsimile: (415) 288-4534 25 26 /s/ John K. Grant JOHN K. GRANT 27 28

CASE NO.: C 07 5111(MJJ)

## 

1	3. By executing this Stipulation, the parties have not waived and expressly retain all
2	claims, defenses and arguments whether procedural, substantive or otherwise, and are without
3	prejudice to any subsequent motion to stay this action, and this Order is entered without prejudice
4	to the rights of any party to apply for a modification of this Order for good cause.
5	
6	DATED:
7	The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPLIL ATION AND IPPOPOSEDLOPDED SETTING SCHEDULE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE CASE NO.: C 07 5111(MJJ)